

Report to the Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation)

Date: 05 January 2017

Subject: Proposed Traffic Regulation Order - Various Sites in Calverley Farsley Ward Phase III

Capital Scheme Number : 32656

Are specific electoral Wards affected?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
Pudsey		
Are there implications for equality and diversity and cohesion and integration?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
Is the decision eligible for Call-In?	Yes	🛛 No
Does the report contain confidential or exempt information?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
If relevant, Access to Information Procedure Rule number:		
Appendix number:		

Summary of main issues

1 All these locations regularly suffer from inconsiderate parking, resulting in poor junction visibility, congestion and/or obstruction to the public highway. This report seeks approval to advertise and implement a draft Traffic Regulation Order (TRO). In each case, apart from one, the proposals comprise a no waiting at any time restriction, resulting in improved visibility and road safety. In the case of Coal Hill Lane the proposal comprises no waiting at any time and a permit parking package. The package is to be funded from 2 developments in the Calverley/Farsley Ward set aside for general traffic management works within the Ward.

Recommendations

- 2 The Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation) is requested to:
 - i) give approval to undertake the detailed design, advertisement and implementation of a Traffic Regulation Order at a number of locations in the Calverley Farsley Ward, as detailed on drawing numbers TMW8-1-2598-01D, 01E and TMW8-1-2685-01, at a total cost of £10,000;
 - give authority to incur expenditure of £10,000 being £7,000 works costs, and £3,000 internal staff fee costs, to be fully funded from Sect 106 receipts (connected to planning permission agreements) earmarked specifically for traffic management within the Ward; and

iii) instruct the City Solicitor to advertise a draft Traffic Regulation Order as shown on drawing Nos TMW8-1-2598-01D, 01E and TMW8-1-2685-01, if no valid objections are received, to make, seal and implement the Order as advertised.

1 Purpose of this report

1.1 The purpose of the report is to request the Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation) to give approval to design, advertise and implement a TRO as per the drawings, TMW8-1-2598-01D, 01E and TMW8-1-2685-01. It also requests the Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation) to give approval to incur expenditure of £10,000 wholly funded from two Sect 106 Planning Permission Agreements; Upper Carr Lane Residential Development and a development at Farsley Celtic Football Club.

2 Background information

- 2.1 A number of locations have been identified by Ward Members and the general public as areas of concern, due to indiscriminate parking, they are as follows:-
 - Blackett Street
 - Thornhill Street/Victoria Street
 - Galloway Lane/Ederoyd Rise and Chatsworth Road
 - Rushton Street and Clarke Street
 - Thornhill Close
 - Coal Hill Lane
- 2.2 In most cases these are junctions or lengths of highway where parking takes place in such a manner as to obstruct visibility or obstruct the highway or access to properties.
- 2.3 Residents of Coal Hill Road are experiencing problems with long stay on street parking associated with a new local commercial operation.
- 2.4 None of these sites has an injury accident record.

3 Main issues

3.1 **Design Proposals and Full Scheme Description.**

Various Traffic Regulation Orders Calverley Farsley Ward Phase III

- 3.1.1 These proposals aim to improve junction visibility, road safety and prevent obstructions to private access points, by the introduction of No Waiting "at any time" restrictions, in one location the measures limit long stay parking where residents are experiencing difficulties with on street parking, all as shown on the attached plans No TMW8-1-2598-01F and TMW8-1-2685-01
- 3.1.2 In the case of Coal Hill Lane (TMW8-1-2685-01), a recent planning approval gave permission for a Wellbeing Centre, which has proved to be problematic in terms of

on street parking. Ward Members have asked for restrictions to be introduced in order control on-street parking and minimise the impact on the highway, and also local residents in Millbeck Close. It is proposed to introduce a package of no waiting measures and an area of permit parking in Millbeck Close.

4 Corporate Considerations

4.1 Consultation and Engagement

- 4.1.1 Ward Members were consulted on 25th May 2016 and the proposals are supported by all three Ward Members.
- 4.1.2 Emergency Services and Metro (WYPTE) were also consulted on 25th May 2016 and no adverse comments have been received.
- 4.1.3 Internal consultations took place at the same time and there are no adverse comments.
- 4.1.4 Coal Hill Lane Ward members fully support the proposals for Coal Hill Lane and Emergency Services were consulted on the 28th September 2016 and have no objections to the proposals.

4.2 Equality and Diversity / Cohesion and Integration

4.2.1 An Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Screening (Appendix 1) has been undertaken and it has identified that there was no need to carry out a full impact for the proposals that are requested. The screening highlighted the following positive and negative impacts:-

Positive Impacts:

By removing indiscriminate parking it will provide clear sight lines that will provide a safer environment for pedestrians when crossing the highway especially parents with young children, the elderly and people with mobility issues

Negative Impacts:

Some may see it as a negative to remove parking through the introduction of double yellow lines, although this is mitigated by providing a safer environment for more vulnerable pedestrians.

4.3 **Council policies and City Priorities**

- 4.3.1 Local Transport Plan (LTP): The proposal contributes to the policies in the West Yorkshire LTP 2011-26 as follows: (18) Improve safety and security, seeking to minimise transport casualties.
- 4.3.2 Environmental Policy: The proposals contained in this report are in line with Aim 6 of the Environmental Policy to improve road safety.

- 4.3.3 Mobility: By controlling parking, access to the local amenities and residential properties will be improved, in particular pedestrian safety should be improved at the junctions.
- 4.3.4 Safety Audit: A safety audit has not been requested for this scheme, however, as part of the consultation process the Safety Officer was asked for their comments and has no objections to the proposals.

4.4 **Resources and value for money**

4.4.1 The total costs are expected to be £10,000 comprising £7,000 works costs, and £3,000 internal staff fees, to be fully funded from two Sect 106 Planning Permission Agreements;

Application No. 25/235/05/FU - Change of use of covered reservoir to 14 two bedroom and 4 one bedroom flats with basement car parking spaces, off Upper Carr Lane and Carr Hill Rise, Calverley

Available funds £9,379.80 (£5,412 required)

The application was considered by the Plans Panel West on 15/6/2006 where the decision was delegated to the Dept of Planning who issued the decision on 19/3/2007.

Application No. 09/02707/FU - Laying out of access road and erect 14 houses, new 2 storey clubhouse with changing rooms and stand, laying out of all weather pitch with floodlighting, 5 grass playing pitches, car parking areas and landscaping, Farsley Celtic A F C, Newlands, Farsley.

Available funds £9,000 (£4,588 required)

The application was considered by the Plans Panel West on 26/11/2009 where the decision was delegated to the Dept of Planning who issued the decision on 29/6/2010.

4.4.2 The scheme will be implemented by term contractors appointed by Highway Services. It is anticipated the works will be carried out in this financial year, subject to there being no objections that can't be resolved.

4.4.3 Capital Funding and Cash Flow

Previous total Authority	TOTAL	TO MARCH		F	ORECAS	г	
to Spend on this scheme		2016	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020 on
	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's
LAND (1)	0.0						
CONSTRUCTION (3)	0.0						
FURN & EQPT (5)	0.0						
DESIGN FEES (6)	0.0						
OTHER COSTS (7)	0.0						
TOTALS	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Authority to Spend	TOTAL	TO MARCH		F	ORECAS	Г	
required for this Approval		2016	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020 on
	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's
LAND(1)	0.0						
CONSTRUCTION (3)	7.0		7.0				
FURN & EQPT (5)	0.0						
DESIGN FEES (6)	3.0		3.0				
OTHER COSTS (7)	0.0						
TOTALS	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total overall Funding	TOTAL	TO MARCH		F	ORECAS	Г	
(As per latest Capital		2016	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020 on
Programme)	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's
LCC Supported Borrow ing	0.0						
Revenue Contribution	0.0						
Capital Receipt	0.0						
Insurance Receipt	0.0						
Lottery	0.0						
Gifts / Bequests / Trusts	0.0						
European Grant	0.0						
Health Authority	0.0						
School Fundraising	0.0						
Private Sector	0.0						
Section 106 / 278	10.0		10.0				
Government Grant	0.0						
SCE(C)	0.0						
SCE(R)	0.0						
Departmental USB	0.0						
Corporate USB	0.0						
Any Other Income (Specify)	0.0						
							0.0
Total Funding	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Funding Balance / Shortfall =	10.0	0.0 0.0	10.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0

4.5 Legal Implications, Access to Information and Call In

4.5.1 The scheme is not eligible for a call in; it falls below the relevant thresholds.

4.6 **Risk Management**

4.6.1 There are no risk issues over and above those expected when working within the public highway.

5 Conclusions

- 5.1 Providing No Waiting "At Any Time" restrictions at these sites will improve visibility and access at locations identified by the public and Ward Members as being problematic.
- 5.2 Combining these sites into one Order allows for reduced legal and advertising costs.

6 Recommendation

- **6.1** The Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation) is requested to:
 - i) give approval to undertake the detailed design, advertisement and implementation of a Traffic Regulation Order at a number of locations in the Calverley Farsley Ward, as detailed on drawing number TMW8-1-2598-01F and TMW8-1-2685-01, at a total cost of £10,000;
 - ii) give authority to incur expenditure of £10,000 being £7,000 works costs, and £3,000 internal staff fee costs, to be fully funded from two Sect 106 Planning Permission Agreements; Upper Carr Lane Residential Development (£5,412) and a residential development at Farsley Celtic Football Club (£4,588); and
 - iii) instruct the City Solicitor to advertise a draft Traffic Regulation Order as shown on drawing TMW8-1-2598-01F and TMW8-1-2685-01, and, if no valid objections are received, to make, seal and implement the Order as advertised.

6 Background documents

6.1 Drawing showing the proposed restrictions –

TMW8-1-2598-01F. TMW8-1-2685-01



As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provides a record of both the **process** and **decision**. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions. Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

- the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.
- whether or not equality, diversity, cohesion and integration is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an impact assessment.

Directorate: City Development	Service area: Highways & Transportation
Lead person: Alan Robinson	Contact number: 0113 3787490

1. Title: Proposed Traffic Regulation Order Various Sites in Calverley Farsley Phase III

Is this a:

Strategy / Policy

Service / Function

Other

If other, please specify

2. Please provide a brief description of what you are screening

Х

The screening focuses on a report to the Highways and Transportation Board requesting authority to undertake the detailed design, advertisement and implementation of a Traffic Regulation Order in Calverely Farsley.

These locations have been identified by Ward Members because of indiscriminate or dangerous parking, causing a potential road safety hazard and obstructions to the highway.

3. Relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

All the council's strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or the wider community – city wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

When considering these questions think about age, carers, disability, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation and any other relevant characteristics (for example socio-economic status, social class, income, unemployment, residential location or family background and education or skills levels).

Questions	Yes	No
Is there an existing or likely differential impact for the different	Х	
equality characteristics?		
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns about the policy or proposal?		Х
Could the proposal affect how our services, commissioning or procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by whom?		Х
Could the proposal affect our workforce or employment practices?		Х
Does the proposal involve or will it have an impact on		Х
 Eliminating unlawful discrimination, victimisation and harassment 		
 Advancing equality of opportunity 		
 Fostering good relations 		

If you have answered **no** to the questions above please complete **sections 6 and 7**

If you have answered **yes** to any of the above and;

- Believe you have already considered the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 4.**
- Are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 5.**

4. Considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

If you can demonstrate you have considered how your proposals impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you have carried out an impact assessment.

Please provide specific details for all three areas below (use the prompts for guidance).

• How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration? (think about the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement activities (taken place or planned) with those likely to be affected)

The Emergency Services, Metro and Ward Members have been consulted. All have either responded positively or have not replied.

• **Key findings** (think about any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another)

Positive Impacts:

By removing indiscriminate parking it will provide clear sight lines that will provide a safer environment for pedestrians when crossing the highway especially parents with young children, the elderly and people with mobility issues

Negative Impacts:

Some may see it as a negative to remove parking through the introduction of double yellow lines, although this is mitigated by providing a safer environment for more vulnerable pedestrians. However, this is not an issue for blue badge holders.

• Actions

(think about how you will promote positive impact and remove/ reduce negative impact)

N/A

5. If you are **not** already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you **will need to carry out an impact assessment**.

Date to scope and plan your impact assessment:	N/A
Date to complete your impact assessment	N/A
Lead person for your impact assessment (Include name and job title)	N/A

6. Governance, ownership and approval Please state here who has approved the actions and outcomes of the screening		
Name	Job title	Date
Nick Hunt	Principal Traffic Engineer	21 / 11 / 2016

7. Publishing

This screening document will act as evidence that due regard to equality and diversity has been given. If you are not carrying out an independent impact assessment the screening document will need to be published.

Please send a copy to the Equality Team for publishing		
Date screening completed		
Date sent to Equality Team	/2016	
Date published (To be completed by the Equality Team)		

Proposed Traffic Regulation Order - Various Sites in Calverley Farsley Ward Phase